UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,571	05/26/2006	Masashi Gotoh	278441US2PCT	9524	
22850 7590 01/28/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST	1940 DUKE STREET			NGUYEN, DONGHAI D	
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
-			01/28/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/549,571	GOTOH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Donghai D. Nguyen	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	December 2007.					
·						
·						
Disposition of Claims		,				
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1.4 and 6-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 2.3 and 5 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. The state of the state					
·— · · · — ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2005 Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	is/are: a)□ accepted or b)[he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/19/05. 		Informal Patent Application				

10/549,571 Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-5 and Species I in the reply filed on December 3, 2007 is acknowledged. Applicants believe that claims 1-5 are readable on the election of Species I. However, The Examiner finds only claims 2, 3 and 5 are read on Species I, therefore, claims 1, 4 and 6-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Invention II and Species II-IV, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Drawings

2. Figures 6A, 6B, and 6C should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Application/Control Number:

10/549,571 Art Unit: 3729

The following title is suggested: --PROCESS FOR FILLING VIA HOLE IN A SUBSTRATE--.

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds 150 words in length.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,590,165 to Takada et al in view of US Patent 6,380,065 to Komai et al.

Regarding claim 2, Takada et al disclose process for producing an electronic component which effects conduction processing between front and back surfaces of a base material (862) equipped with a core material (861) and having a conductor layer (851/852) formed on one surface thereof, the process comprising: forming a via hole (801) in the base material having the conductor layer by performing laser irradiation (804) at least from the other surface side of the base material (see Fig. 41) and forming a conductor part (807/871); However, Takada et al do not disclose a plating layer, an electroless plating layer and a plating layer in the via to form a conductor part in the via hole. Komai et al teach the electrode plating a conductive layer (24); electroless plating a conductive layer (33) and electrode plating a conductive layer (36) in a via hole (see Figs. 2(1)-2(6) for forming an interconnection structure having high reliability (see Col.

Application/Control Number:

10/549,571

Art Unit: 3729

10, lines 8-39). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Takada et al by utilized the process of forming the conductor part by plating, electroless plating and plating layers in the via as taught by Komai et al for forming the interconnection structure having high reliability.

Regarding claims 3 and 5, Takada et al disclose the core material is formed of glass cloth (see Col. 39, lines 56-57) and is caused to protrude from the inner wall surface of the via hole through laser irradiation to thereby form an anchor structure with respect to the conductor part (see Fig. 41).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Prior art references cited for their teaching of connector structure in the via hole.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donghai D. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)-272-4566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter D. Vo can be reached on (571)-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/549,571

Art Unit: 3729

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DN

January 17, 2008

Patent Examiner: Donghai D. Nguyen